From: Kupchan, Simma [Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov] Sent: 11/2/2018 2:34:19 PM To: Hurld, Kathy [Hurld.Kathy@epa.gov]; Hicks, Matt [Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov] CC: Calli, Rosemary [Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov] Subject: RE: Follow-ups on two Florida documents Emailing David now. Simma Kupchan EPA Office of General Counsel Water Law Office WJC North Building # 7426Q 202-564-3105 ----Original Message----From: Hurld, Kathy Sent: Friday, November 2, 2018 8:22 AM To: Hicks, Matt <Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov> Cc: Calli, Rosemary <Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov>; Kupchan, Simma <Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov> Subject: Re: Follow-ups on two Florida documents Good morning everyone. Just checking in on the status of these responses and the final language and rationale so I can keep my mgt updated. Thanks Sent from my iPhone > On Nov 1, 2018, at 6:37 PM, Hicks, Matt <Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov> wrote: That insert ("or retained waters") will be part of the proposed revisions we provide DEP. ----Original Message----From: Calli, Rosemary Sent: Thursday, November 1, 2018 6:35 PM > To: Hurld, Kathy <Hurld.Kathy@epa.gov>; Hicks, Matt <Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov>; Kupchan, Simma <Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov> Subject: RE: Follow-ups on two Florida documents Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP) ----Original Message----From: Hurld, Kathy Sent: Thursday, November 1, 2018 6:00 PM To: Hicks, Matt <Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov>; Kupchan, Simma <Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov> Cc: Calli, Rosemary <Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov> Subject: Re: Follow-ups on two Florida documents So I'm sorry, but I'm not sure what language we are suggesting go forward to David F. Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) > Sorry, I have to I can't work on this more tonight.

```
> From: Hicks, Matt
> Sent: Thursday, November 1, 2018 5:29 PM
> To: Hurld, Kathy; Kupchan, Simma
> Cc: Calli, Rosemary
> Subject: RE: Follow-ups on two Florida documents
```

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

```
From: Hurld, Kathy
 Sent: Thursday, November 1, 2018 5:23 PM
 To: Kupchan, Śimma <Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov>
 Cc: Calli, Rosemary <Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov>; Hicks, Matt
 <Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov>
  Subject: Re: Follow-ups on two Florida documents
                      Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)
 Sent from my iPhone
 On Nov 1, 2018, at 5:17 PM, Kupchan, Simma < Kupchan, Simma@epa.gov < mailto: Kupchan, Simma@epa.gov >> wrote:
 I will tell him we think
                                        Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)
 Simma Kupchan
 EPA Office of General Counsel
 Water Law Office
 WJC North Building # 7426Q
 202-564-3105
> From: Fotouhi, David
> Sent: Thursday, November 1, 2018 5:16 PM
 To: Kupchan, Simma
> <Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov<mailto:Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov>>
> Cc: Neugeboren, Steven
 <Neugeboren.Steven@epa.gov<mailto:Neugeboren.Steven@epa.gov>>;
 Wehling, Carrie
 <Wehling.Carrie@epa.gov<mailto:Wehling.Carrie@epa.gov>>
 Subject: RE: Follow-ups on two Florida documents
```

Ex. 5 AC/DP

```
> David Fotouhi
  Principal Deputy General Counsel
  Office of General Counsel
  U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
  Tel: +1 202.564.1976
  fotouhi.david@epa.gov<mailto:fotouhi.david@epa.gov>
 From: Kupchan, Simma
  Sent: Thursday, November 1, 2018 4:02 PM
> To: Fotouhí, David
  <Fotouhi.David@epa.gov<mailto:Fotouhi.David@epa.gov>>
> Cc: Neugeboren, Steven
> <Neugeboren.Steven@epa.gov<mailto:Neugeboren.Steven@epa.gov>>;
  Wehling, Carrie
  <Wehling.Carrie@epa.gov<mailto:Wehling.Carrie@epa.gov>>
  Subject: Follow-ups on two Florida documents
>
  David,
> Thank you very much for your input on the proposed changes to FL's rules. R4 will incorporate all of your proposed edits, but just wanted to propose one alternative edit to the "material permit modification" paragraph, in response to a question you raised. Please see below.
  Stakeholder-proposed language:
```

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

> David asked:

Ex. 5 AC/DP

New proposed language:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

```
> Could you please let us know if you are comfortable with R4's revised proposed approach, or if you have
concerns about it? Thank you very much for your continued attention to this matter.
 Simma Kupchan
> EPA Office of General Counsel
> Water Law Office
 WJC North Building # 7426Q
 202-564-3105
> From: Fotouhi, David
 Sent: Tuesday, October 30, 2018 7:30 PM
> To: Palmer, Leif <Palmer.Leif@epa.gov<mailto:Palmer.Leif@epa.gov>>;
> Leopold, Matt (OGC)
 <Leopold.Matt@epa.gov<mailto:Leopold.Matt@epa.gov>>
> Cc: Glenn, Trey <Glenn.Trey@epa.gov<mailto:Glenn.Trey@epa.gov>>;
> Walker, Mary <walker.mary@epa.gov<mailto:walker.mary@epa.gov>>;
 Ashbee, Blake <ashbee.blake@epa.gov<mailto:ashbee.blake@epa.gov>>;
 <Jenkins.Brandi@epa.gov<mailto:Jenkins.Brandi@epa.gov>>; Gettle,
 Jeaneanne <Gettle.Jeaneanne@epa.gov<mailto:Gettle.Jeaneanne@epa.gov>>;
 Hicks, Matt <Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov<mailto:Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov>>;
 Neugeboren, Steven
 <Neugeboren.Steven@epa.gov<mailto:Neugeboren.Steven@epa.gov>>;
 Kupchan, Simma <Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov<mailto:Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov>>;
> Mcgill, Thomas <Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov<mailto:Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov>>;
> Zapata, Cesar <Zapata.Cesar@epa.gov<mailto:Zapata.Cesar@epa.gov>>>;
> Wade, Alexis <Wade.Alexis@epa.gov<mailto:Wade.Alexis@epa.gov>>; Ghosh,
> Mita <Ghosh.Mita@epa.gov<mailto:Ghosh.Mita@epa.gov>>
 Subject: RE: proposed responses to FDEP's assumption questions
```

```
> My comments and suggestions are tracked in the attached document. Please let me know if you would like
to discuss.
> Best,
> David
  David Fotouhi
  Principal Deputy General Counsel
> Office of General Counsel
> U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
  Tel: +1 202.564.1976
  fotouhi.david@epa.gov<mailto:fotouhi.david@epa.gov>
> From: Palmer, Leif
> Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2018 7:02 PM
  To: Fotouhi, David
> <Fotouhi.David@epa.gov<mailto:Fotouhi.David@epa.gov>>; Leopold, Matt
  (OGC) <Leopold.Matt@epa.gov<mailto:Leopold.Matt@epa.gov>>
> Cc: Glenn, Trey <Glenn.Trey@epa.gov<mailto:Glenn.Trey@epa.gov>>;
> Walker, Mary <walker.mary@epa.gov<mailto:walker.mary@epa.gov>>;
  Ashbee, Blake <ashbee.blake@epa.gov<mailto:ashbee.blake@epa.gov>>;
  Jenkins, Brandi
  <Jenkins.Brandi@epa.gov<mailto:Jenkins.Brandi@epa.gov>>; Gettle,
  Jeaneanne <Gettle.Jeaneanne@epa.gov<mailto:Gettle.Jeaneanne@epa.gov>>;
  Hicks, Matt <Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov<mailto:Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov>>;
  Neugeboren, Steven
  <Neugeboren.Steven@epa.gov<mailto:Neugeboren.Steven@epa.gov>>;
  Kupchan, Simma <Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov</pre>
  Mcgill, Thomas <Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov<mailto:Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov>>;
> Zapata, Cesar <Zapata.Cesar@epa.gov<mailto:Zapata.Cesar@epa.gov>>;
> Wade, Alexis <Wade.Alexis@epa.gov<mailto:Wade.Alexis@epa.gov>>; Ghosh,
  Mita <Ghosh.Mita@epa.gov<mailto:Ghosh.Mita@epa.gov>>
  Subject: FW: proposed responses to FDEP's assumption questions
> Importance: High
  Matt & David - as mentioned on today's OGC call we've worked out responses to FDEP's latest questions.
Trey asked me to forward this to you for your thoughts.
> Matt Hicks' email below provides context.
> Leif Palmer
  Regional Counsel
> US EPA Region 4
> 61 Forsyth Street SW
> Atlanta, Georgia 30303
  (404) 562-9542
                  (cell)
Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
> This email is from an attorney and may contain privileged information and attorney-client
communications and should not be released under FOIA or discovery to individuals or entities outside of
EPA or the U.S. Department of Justice without the knowledge of the sender.
> From: Hicks, Matt
  Sent: Tuesday, October 23, 2018 5:51 PM
  To: Palmer, Leif <Palmer.Leif@epa.gov<mailto:Palmer.Leif@epa.gov>>
> Cc: Ghosh, Mita <Ghosh.Mita@epa.gov<mailto:Ghosh.Mita@epa.gov>>
  Subject: FW: proposed responses to FDEP's assumption questions
  Importance: High
> Leif,
> Here's EPA's proposed response to the two recent questions we received from DEP on Friday. These
proposed responses were generated by the Region 4 team (Tom McGill level) after consulting with Simma
(both issues) and Alexis (mitigation issue only) at OGC. DEP is waiting to hear from us before moving forward with their rulemaking so time is of the essence. More internal discussion is needed on the issue
of incorporating retained waters list into EPA's Federal Register notice approving the state's program
but that issue is not as time critical as the two below.
```

Ex. 5 AC/DP

Ex. 5 AC/DP

```
Please call me if you'd like to discuss further.
  Thanks,
>
  Matt
  From: Mcgill, Thomas
  Sent: Tuesday, October 23, 2018 5:10 PM
  To: Zapata, Cesar <Zapata.Cesar@epa.gov<mailto:Zapata.Cesar@epa.gov>>
> Cc: Calli, Rosemary
  <Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov<mailto:Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov>>; Purify,
  Johnnie <Purify.Johnnie@epa.gov<mailto:Purify.Johnnie@epa.gov>>;
> Hicks, Matt <Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov<mailto:Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov>>;
> Ghosh, Mita <Ghosh.Mita@epa.gov<mailto:Ghosh.Mita@epa.gov>>; Kupchan, > Simma <Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov<mailto:Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov>>; Hurld,
> Kathy <Hurld.Kathy@epa.gov<mailto:Hurld.Kathy@epa.gov>>; Allenbach,
> Becky <Allenbach.Becky@epa.gov<mailto:Allenbach.Becky@epa.gov>>;
  Nagrani, Kavita
  <Nagrani.Kavita@epa.gov<mailto:Nagrani.Kavita@epa.gov>>; Laycock,
> Kelly <Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov<mailto:Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov>>; Parker,
  Christopher
  <Parker.Christopher@epa.gov<mailto:Parker.Christopher@epa.gov>>
  Subject: proposed responses to FDEP's assumption questions
> Importance: High
> Cesar,
> As a follow-up to our conversation yesterday, FDEP would appreciate EPA's quick feedback on questions they asked during calls held with some of EPA's assumption team members on Oct 19 and 22nd. You'll recall
that I forwarded you an email from Matt Hicks on Oct 19 regarding their basic questions, and we received additional clarification with FDEP staff in a call held on Oct 22. We understand that FDEP is awaiting EPA's feedback prior to proceeding with their Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and that FDEP would prefer
EPA's feedback through a conversation instead of in writing.
  Attached is a document drafted by the EPA Assumption Team that includes: (1) FDEP's questions
(highlighted in blue text); (2) proposed EPA responses (highlighted in yellow text); and (3) other
information to provide background/context for FDEP's questions and/or the proposed responses. We would
appreciate feedback from the RA and the senior leaders at OGC/OW as quickly as they can provide it before
responding to FDEP.
> If you have any questions or need any additional information please let us know.
  Thanks.
> Tom
```